

Next up

- Warehouse scale computers – How to build/program data centers
 - Google software stack
 - GFS
 - BigTable
 - Sawzall
 - Chubby
 - Map/reduce

What is cloud computing

- Illusion of infinite computing resources available on demand
- Scale-up for most apps
 Elimination of up-front commitment
 Small initial investment, scale only as needed
- Pay-per-use on short-term basis

 transfer purchase risk (will equipment be used
- transfer purchase risk (will equipment be used?) to cloud provider
 Result: Cost-associativity
- RESUIT: COST-ASSOCIATIVITY
 Use of 1 CPU for 100 hours costs the same as 100 CPUs for one hour

Historical Context

- Utility computing late 1960's
 - Genesis of Multics at MIT/GE
 - Central pool of mainframes serve dial-up customers
 - Developed as time-sharing bureaus
- Single server, many clients
 - No real connectivity between servers

Death of Utility Computing

- Killer Micros: cheap PCs that afforded more flexibility, more power then central computer
 - text terminals couldn't compete on flexibility, GUI
 Micros more cost effective EXCEPT for
 - managementupgrades, patches, software installs

Rise of Cloud Computing

- Data centers ride commodity-part tide
 - Computing in the large now similar in price to clientside computing
- Internet properties develop technology to efficiently deploy, manage, serve large clusters

 container-based data centers
 - scalable, replicated, distributed, reliable storage
- Rich programming models allow better client-side UI
 - AJAX, JavaScript, HTML

Enabling Technologies

- Virtualization
- For platform-as-a-service and remote management
 Web Services
- XML-RPC, SOA, etc. APIs to services
- Cluster management experience by large internet companies
- E.g. Amazon, Google, MicrosoftCluster management software
 - Storage: GFS, Dryad

Cloud Computing Models

- First wave: Software-as-a-Service (SaaS)
 - Rather than install software on customer machines, run it in a provider data center
 E.g.: HotMail, Salesforce.com
 - Web-scale software: serve everybody

Software-as-a-Service

- Think GMail, google docs
- What are the benefits?
 - No end-customer management; provider can do online upgrades, provisioning
 - No hardware purchase
 - Vendor sees how customer uses software, can adapt
- What are the downsides?
 - The internet goes down
 - Edge bandwidth can be low
 - Browser lacks polish of real UIs

Platform-as-a-Service

- Second wave (PaaS)
 - Providers supply generic platform for running Software-as-a-Service AND collaborative web software
 - Allow third parties to host their applications on common infrastructure
 - Google AppEngine, Windows Azure (sort of)
 - Still API-rich
 - Provide many services (load balancing, request distribution, scheduling)

Infrastructure-as-a-Service

- Provide virtual machines, networking
 - Augment with higher level services:
 - Storage
 - Monitoring
 - Security
- Customer provides complete software stack
- Prevents lock in
 - Few APIs to write against beyond data management

Coming: Data as a service

- Step 1: storage as a service
 - Amazon Simple Storage Service
 - Windows Sky Drive
 - Cheaper than managing storage locally
- Step 2: data as a service
 - Windows Azure Data Market
 - Rent data from someone else rather than collecting your own
 - E.g make large data sets available for sale

 Geographical databases
 - Historical data sets (e.g. weather)

What drives Cloud Computing

- Economics:
 - Cost of providing computing services locally
 - Utilization of enterprise infrastructure
 - Provisioning for worst-case

Cost of Provisioning

- Within a company:
- Real estate/power is expensive (often better used for office space)
- Lacks large economies of scale
- Management typically 1 person 10-100 machines
- Within a data center:
 - Put where land/power is cheap
 - Buy in bulk (containers, thousands of machines,
 - gigabits of bandwidth)
 - Low management overhead: lots of self-managing systems, 1 person/ 1000-10,000 machines

Elastic Provisioning

- Companies must provision for worst case - Leads to low utilization (1-20%) most of the time
- Leads to overload some of the time (slashdot effect)
- Hard to grow rapidly if popularity surges e.g. doubling every day
 - · May lose customers if bad service
- Cloud provisioning can start large, multiplex machines over many customers
 - Higher average utilization
 - Higher resource availability to surging sites
 - Cheap to decommission resources as popularity falls





Elastic Provisioning (2)

- Billing based on usage
 - Shifts risk from customer to provider
 - Separates cost of memory, I/O, disk, CPU and bill appropriately
 - Reduces risk of trying cloud computing
- Shifts capital expenses (buying things) to operational expense (cost of providing service)
 - Small upfront cost good for starting out

When is data center computing cheaper?

- Unpredictable/fluxuating workloads - Expensive to provision your own

 - Good for:
 - startups who don't know their popularity big companies with predictable peak loads (e.g. christmas, olympics)
- Not super-CPU intensive
 - Raw CPU, memory, disk is cheaper locally (not scalable, not replicated)
 - Extra charge for renting relatively small (2.5 x for CPU, 20-50% for disk)
 - QUESTION: Why disk cheaper? it must be replicated

Cloud Economics

- Some costs cheaper in cloud
 - Fixed costs of buildings, buy machines, power • Cheaper when bought in buld
 - Variable costs cheaper
 - Bandwidth much cheaper in bulk (e.g. 10x)
 - System management much cheaper (e.g. 10-100x)
 - Massive redundancy - Massive homogeneity

Utility Computing Arrives

- Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud (EC2)
- "Compute unit" rental: \$0.10-0.80/hr. o 1 CU ≈ 1.0-1.2 GHz 2007 AMD Opteron/Xeon core

"Instances"	Platform	Cores	Memory Disk
Small - \$0.10 / hr	32-bit	1	1.7 GB160 GB
Large - \$0.40 / hr	64-bit	4	7.5 GB850 GB – 2 spindles
XLarge - \$0.80 / hr	64-bit	8	15.0 GB1690 GB – 3 spindles

- · Billing rounded to nearest hour; pay-as-you-go storage also available
- · A new paradigm (!) for deploying services?

Energy & Cloud Computing?

- Cloud Computing saves Energy?
 - · Don't buy machines for local use that are often idle · More efficient cooling
 - Newest data centers are air cooled only using outside air
- · Better to ship bits as photons over fiber vs. ship electrons over transmission lines to spin disks, power processors locally
 - Clouds use nearby (hydroelectric) power
 - 。 Leverage economies of scale of cooling, power distribution

What apps can move to the cloud?

- Compute over same data multiple times Amortize cost of uploading data
- Perfectly scalable parallel apps (batch) - Can compute N times faster on N more machines - E.g. NY times, WA post scanning documents
- CPU/data intensive apps for mobile devices provide heavy lifting, data persistence
- · Apps with widely variable resource demands Animoto rendering service

Differences to App Writers

- State no longer lives in a file system
 - Storage systems for shared data (e.g. S3, databases) instead
- Applications scale both ways
 - Up for bigger loads
 - Down for multi-tenancy · Idle cycles aren't free

What apps cannot move to the cloud?

- Video games?
 - Heavy client-side CPU component
 - But:
 - · Compute graphics in cloud and stream to client OnLive.com
- Banking
 - Need better security
- Offline apps

Cloud vs. Grid

- Grid: more about batch scheduling parallel jobs - Each machine generally runs only jobs for one customer
 - Jobs typically use multiple machines
 - Jobs are not interactive
 - Big data set, large data objects
 - Scientific computing
- Cloud
 - Multi-tenancy (multiple customers on a machine)
 - Resource-based billing
 - Public (often)
 - Fine-grained storage

Cloud Computing Platforms

- Before Amazon:
 - Rackspace, Sun Grid Compute Facility
 - Real machines, no virtualization
 - QUESTION: why a problem? Cannot scale dowr
 - Must fully utilize machine (no sharing)
- Amazon EC2:
 - Virtual machine + set of images
 - Services: block storage, database, content distribution
- Google AppEngine
 - Python/Java environment for web apps Google handles scalability with BigTable, load balancing, scaling, authentication

More platforms

- Windows Azure
- .net execution environment, like a JVM
- Storage in a file system, database
- Less than a whole machine, no choice of OS, but can run almost-arbitrary applications
- Who wins?
 - AppEngine very limited in what it can do, but does more for you.
 - EC2 most flexible run any code seems to have most marketshare now
 - · For apps with simple scalability, services are enough

Platform Issues

- Lock-in
 - If you write for Windows Azure, you will always run on Windows Azure (and pay rent)
 - Same for AppEngine

Challenges

· Getting data into clouds

- Bandwidth at endpoints much lower than within cloud.
- Loading large data sets can be slow
- Can actually ship disks now ...
- Efficiency
 - How can you use idle CPU cycles of interactive web sites?
 - They demand low latency, cannot have more than 40% utilization or so unless they fall over under load
 - Idle periods are often short (10ms-1sec), to short for condor-style scheduling



